Sarcoidosis: A comprehensive review and update for the dermatologist

Part II. Extracutaneous disease

Adele Haimovic, BA,^a Miguel Sanchez, MD,^a Marc A. Judson, MD,^b and Stephen Prystowsky, MD^a New York and Albany, New York

CME INSTRUCTIONS

The following is a journal-based CME activity presented by the American Academy of Dermatology and is made up of four phases:

- 1. Reading of the CME Information (delineated below)
- 2. Reading of the Source Article
- 3. Achievement of a 70% or higher on the online Case-based Post Test
- 4. Completion of the Journal CME Evaluation

CME INFORMATION AND DISCLOSURES

Statement of Need:

The American Academy of Dermatology bases its CME activities on the Academy's core curriculum, identified professional practice gaps, the educational needs which underlie these gaps, and emerging clinical research findings. Learners should reflect upon clinical and scientific information presented in the article and determine the need for further study.

Target Audience:

Dermatologists and others involved in the delivery of dermatologic care.

Accreditation

The American Academy of Dermatology is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

AMA PRA Credit Designation

The American Academy of Dermatology designates this journal-based CME activity for a maximum of 1 *AMA PRA Category 1 Credits*TM. Physicians should claim only the credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

AAD Recognized Credit

This journal-based CME activity is recognized by the American Academy of Dermatology for 1 AAD Credit and may be used toward the American Academy of Dermatology's Continuing Medical Education Award.

Disclaimer:

The American Academy of Dermatology is not responsible for statements made by the author(s). Statements or opinions expressed in this activity reflect the views of the author(s) and do not reflect the official policy of the American Academy of Dermatology. The information provided in this CME activity is for continuing education purposes only and is not meant to substitute for the independent medical judgment of a healthcare provider relative to the diagnostic, management and treatment options of a specific patient's medical condition.

Disclosures

Editors

The editors involved with this CME activity and all content validation/peer reviewers of this journal-based CME activity have reported no relevant financial relationships with commercial interest(s).

Authors

The authors of this journal-based CME activity have reported no relevant financial relationships with commercial interest(s).

Planners

The planners involved with this journal-based CME activity have reported no relevant financial relationships with commercial interest(s). The editorial and education staff involved with this journal-based CME activity have reported no relevant financial relationships with commercial interest(s).

Resolution of Conflicts of Interest

In accordance with the ACCME Standards for Commercial Support of CME, the American Academy of Dermatology has implemented mechanisms, prior to the planning and implementation of this Journal-based CME activity, to identify and mitigate conflicts of interest for all individuals in a position to control the content of this Journal-based CME activity.

Learning Objectives

After completing this learning activity, the participants should be able to recognize the various manifestations of systemic sarcoidosis; select the appropriate laboratory and imaging tests for the diagnosis and evaluation of systemic sarcoidosis; and monitor patients with skin sarcoidosis for the development of extracutaneous sarcoidosis.

Date of release: May 2012 Expiration date: May 2015

© 2012 by the American Academy of Dermatology, Inc. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2012.02.003

Technical requirements:

American Academy of Dermatology:

- Supported browsers: FireFox (3 and higher), Google Chrome (5 and higher),
- Internet Explorer (7 and higher), Safari (5 and higher), Opera (10 and higher). • JavaScript needs to be enabled.

Elsevier:

Technical Requirements This website can be viewed on a PC or Mac. We recommend a minimum of:

- PC: Windows NT, Windows 2000, Windows ME, or Windows XP
- Mac: OS X
- 128MB RAM
- Processor speed of 500MHz or higher
- 800x600 color monitor
- · Video or graphics card
- · Sound card and speakers

Provider Contact Information:

American Academy of Dermatology Phone: Toll-free: (866) 503-SKIN (7546); International: (847) 240-1280 Fax: (847) 240-1859

Mail: P.O. Box 4014; Schaumburg, IL 60168

Confidentiality Statement:

American Academy of Dermatology: POLICY ON PRIVACY AND CONFIDENTIALITY

Privacy Policy - The American Academy of Dermatology (the Academy) is committed to maintaining the privacy of the personal information of visitors to its sites. Our policies are designed to disclose the information collected and how it will be used. This policy applies solely to the information provided while visiting this website. The terms of the privacy policy do not govern personal information furnished through any means other than this website (such as by telephone or mail).

E-mail Addresses and Other Personal Information - Personal information such as postal and e-mail address may be used internally for maintaining member records, marketing purposes, and alerting customers or members of additional services available. Phone numbers may also be used by the Academy when questions about products or services ordered arise. The Academy will not reveal any information about an individual user to third parties except to comply with applicable laws or valid legal processes.

Cookies - A cookie is a small file stored on the site user's computer or Web server and is used to aid Web navigation. Session cookies are temporary files created when a user signs in on the website or uses the personalized features (such as keeping track of items in the shopping cart). Session cookies are removed when a user logs off or when the browser is closed. Persistent cookies are permanent files and must be deleted manually. Tracking or other information collected from persistent cookies or any session cookie is used strictly for the user's efficient navigation of the site.

Links - This site may contain links to other sites. The Academy is not responsible for the privacy practices or the content of such websites.

Children - This website is not designed or intended to attract children under the age of 13. The Academy does not collect personal information from anyone it knows is under the age of 13.

Elsevier: http://www.elsevier.com/wps/find/privacypolicy.cws_home/privacypolicy

Sarcoidosis is a multisystemic, granulomatous disease with protean manifestations and variable prognosis. Because the skin can be the only organ in which the disease is recognized, dermatologists may be responsible for the care of sarcoidosis patients. Therefore, dermatologists should be cognizant of the disease's extracutaneous manifestations to assure appropriate evaluation and treatment. Part II of this review describes the diagnostic approach and management of the extracutaneous manifestations of sarcoidosis. (J Am Acad Dermatol 2012;66:719.e1-e10.)

Sarcoidosis is an inflammatory disorder that is characterized by noncaseating granulomas in one or more organ systems. Health practitioners caring for patients with sarcoidosis need to remain vigilant concerning progression of the disease and additional sarcoidosis organ involvement. The diagnosis of sarcoidosis may be problematic because there is no definitive diagnostic test for the disease. The establishment of a diagnosis requires histopathologic evidence of noncaseating granulomatous inflammation in the presence of characteristic clinical and/ or radiographic findings. The manifestations of sarcoidosis

are highly variable and depend on the organs involved. Disease severity may range from an asymptomatic state to a life-threatening condition. When the disease presents with skin lesions, the dermatologist may be the main health care provider for the patient. Consequentially, dermatologists should assure that their patients are assessed for extracutaneous disease and are referred to appropriate specialists when necessary.

DIAGNOSIS AND MANAGEMENT OF SARCOIDOSIS

Key points

- The diagnosis of sarcoidosis is strongly supported by the presence of noncaseating granulomas on histology
- The presence of granulomatous inflammation is insufficient for the diagnosis of sarcoidosis because there are many causes of granulomatous inflammation. Additional

CAPSULE SUMMARY

- Dermatologists play an important role in the diagnosis and initial evaluation of sarcoidosis because the skin is involved in 20% to 35% of all cases and is often the initially affected organ.
- Dermatologists need to initiate or arrange assessment for potential extracutaneous involvement at the time of diagnosis and ensure ongoing monitoring and treatment of extracutaneous disease.
- Awareness of the signs and symptoms associated with extracutaneous sarcoidosis by treating dermatologists enhances the care of patients with this challenging disease.

requirements for the diagnosis include characteristic clinical and/or radiologic findings and adequate exclusion of alternative granulomatous diseases

• Sarcoidosis is a systemic disease that may require a consultation from several specialists

There is no diagnostic test for sarcoidosis. Clinical and radiographic data that support a diagnosis of sarcoidosis are listed in Table I.¹ An algorithm to establish a diagnosis of systemic sarcoidosis has been proposed (Fig 1).¹ Most cases of cutaneous sarcoidosis are diagnosed by a

biopsy specimen of characteristic skin lesions with corroborative histology and exclusion of other causes of granulomatous inflammation. Infectious conditions that need to be excluded include tuberculosis, histoplasmosis, coccidiomycosis, leprosy, leishmaniasis, and syphilis. Noninfectious conditions that may cause granulomatous inflammation include beryllium, zirconium, and tattoo pigments.²

Angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) serum levels are increased in 60% of patients with sarcoidosis,³ and serum ACE has therefore been considered a potential diagnostic test for sarcoidosis. Elevations of serum ACE higher than 50% of the upper limit of normal are highly suggestive of the disease.⁴ Kruit et al⁵ found that ACE levels are influenced by the insertion/deletion polymorphism in the ACE gene, and suggested that correcting serum ACE values according to genotype improve the diagnostic and prognostic values of the test.⁵ However, even with correction for genotype, serum ACE levels are not

From the Department of Dermatology,^a New York University School of Medicine, and the Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine,^b Albany Medical College, Albany.

Dr Judson has received grant support from Celgene, Centocor, and Gilead. Ms Haimovic and Drs Sanchez and Prystowsky have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Funding sources: None.

Reprint requests: Stephen Prystowsky, MD, Department of Dermatology, New York University School of Medicine, 550 First Ave, New York, NY 10016. E-mail: steveprystowmd@aol. com.

^{0190-9622/\$36.00}

Abbreviations ı	ised:
ACE: MRI: 67Ga: 18F-FDG PET:	angiotensin-converting enzyme magnetic resonance imaging gallium 67 scintigraphy fluorine-18-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography

specific enough for use as a diagnostic test.⁴ Because ACE is produced by epithelioid cells in granulomas, it has been proposed that elevated serum levels in sarcoidosis correlate with the granuloma burden.⁶ However, serum ACE levels have not been shown to be valuable in predicting prognosis or determining the need for treatment.⁷ In addition, baseline ACE levels vary between individuals.^{8,9}

Because patients with cutaneous sarcoidosis often have systemic involvement at the time of diagnosis or a few years after,^{10,11} all patients with skin sarcoidosis should be initially evaluated for extracutaneous disease. Table II outlines the recommended assessment for patients diagnosed with sarcoidosis.¹²

Over the course of the disease, previously uninvolved organs may develop sarcoidosis, whereas affected organs may heal or become impaired.¹³ There are currently no established guidelines for monitoring patients for the development of extracutaneous sarcoidosis who have disease limited to the skin. However, we propose an approach to monitoring for the development of extracutaneous disease in Table III. If new or worsening extracutaneous involvement is suspected on the basis of symptoms, the physical examination, or laboratory abnormalities, referral to an appropriate specialist for further evaluation and management may be required.

Several imaging modalities, including chest radiographs, high-resolution computed tomography, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), gallium 67 scintigraphy (67Ga), and fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (18F-FDG PET), may be used to indicate organ involvement. However, in most cases, a diagnostic biopsy specimen is preferred because it is more specific. Two exceptions are with cardiac sarcoidosis and neurosarcoidosis, whereby biopsy is highly invasive and imaging studies are relatively specific in the proper clinical context.

ORGAN INVOLVEMENT

Pulmonary disease

Key points

- The lung is the most commonly affected organ in sarcoidosis
- All patients, even those without respiratory symptoms, should be evaluated with a chest radiograph and pulmonary function tests

Table I. Clinicoradiographic data supporting or
weakening the likelihood of sarcoidosis*

	Strengthens	Weakens
Demographics	 US African American Northern European 	 Age <18 y Age >50 y (male)
Medical history Laboratory data Radiographic findings	 Asymptomatic (in patients with CXR findings) Symptoms involving >2 or- gans frequently effected in sarcoidosis No history of smoking Family history of sarcoidosis Elevated serum ACE levels Bilateral hilar adenopathy (es- pecially if asymptomatic) Disease along the bronchovas- 	 Exposure to tuberculosis Exposure to beryllium Exposure to or- ganic bioaerosol Intravenous drug use
	cular bundle on HRCT	

ACE, Angiotensin-converting enzyme; CXR, chest radiograph; HRCT, high-resolution computed tomography. *Modified from Judson.¹

Common respiratory symptoms of pulmonary sarcoidosis include dyspnea, cough, wheezing, chest pain, and tightness.³ Chest radiographs show abnormalities at some point in the disease course in more than 90% of cases of sarcoidosis.^{14,15} Common pulmonary radiographic findings include bilateral hilar adenopathy, mediastinal adenopathy, and parenchymal infiltrates, especially in the upper lobes.¹⁶

The chest radiographic findings of pulmonary sarcoidosis are classified into five stages (Table IV).¹⁷ A Danish study¹⁸ evaluating the radiographic stages of sarcoidosis over time reported that about 85% had stability, improvement, or resolution of radiographic abnormalities in the first 2 years. More than half of patients with sarcoidosis are initially asymptomatic and have bilateral hilar lymphadenopathy (stage I) on the primary chest radiograph.¹⁷ Patients with stage IV chest radiographs usually have chronic, symptomatic pulmonary disease with irreversible fibrosis on chest radiograph,^{17,19} and may develop complications such as pneumothorax, cor pulmonale, mycetomas, or respiratory failure.^{17,19} Pulmonary function tests are abnormal in 20% of patients with stage I chest



Fig 1. A stepwise approach for the diagnosis of systemic sarcoidosis. [†]There are particular clinical presentations that are so typical of sarcoidosis that only exclusion of other diseases is required for the diagnosis; no biopsy specimen is needed. Some of these presentations include Löfgren syndrome, Heerfordt syndrome, and asymptomatic bilateral hilar adenopathy on chest radiography. (Modified from Judson.¹)

Table II. Recommended initial screening for allsuspected or diagnosed cases of sarcoidosis*

Detailed history: symptoms that may suggest sarcoidosis organ involvement (eq, cough, eye symptoms) and occupational/environmental exposure history that may suggest an alternative diagnosis (eg, beryllium exposure suggesting chronic beryllium disease, bird exposure suggesting hypersensitivity pneumonitis) Physical examination: may reveal additional organ involvement (neurologic deficits, cardiac rhythm disturbances, or red eyes) Posteroanterior chest radiograph Pulmonary function test: spirometry, diffusion capacity, and total lung capacity Electrocardiogram and echocardiogram Serum chemistries: calcium, creatinine, blood urea nitrogen, alanine aminotransferase, aspartate aminotransferase, and alkaline phosphatase to examine liver and renal function Urine analysis to evaluate for nephrolithiasis Complete blood cell count Routine ophthalmologic examination: slit-lamp and fundoscopic eye examination Tuberculin skin test or interferon release assav to exclude the diagnosis of tuberculosis and as a precaution should immunosuppressive therapies be required

*Modified from Hunninghake et al.¹²

radiographs and in 40% to 70% of patients with higher stages.⁴ Although pulmonary function and prognosis tend to worsen in groups of pulmonary sarcoidosis patients with higher radiographic stages,^{18,20} this

staging scheme cannot be used in individual patients to assess pulmonary dysfunction, disease activity, the need for treatment, or to reliably predict prognosis.¹⁷

Sarcoidosis is an interstitial lung disease that causes restrictive lung abnormalities (reduced total lung capacity and forced vital capacity). However, sarcoidosis may cause significant airway obstruction from granulomatous involvement of the airways or distortion of airways from pulmonary fibrosis. Therefore, pulmonary sarcoidosis may mimic other obstructive lung diseases.²¹ Pulmonary hypertension may occur with sarcoidosis by a number of mechanisms. Such patients typically present with dyspnea and increased oxygen requirements. Sarcoidosis-associated pulmonary hypertension is potentially life threatening and portends a poor prognosis.^{22,23}

OCULAR SARCOIDOSIS

Key points

- All sarcoidosis patients should have routine ophthalmologic examinations even if they have no ocular symptoms
- Ocular sarcoidosis should always be treated, even in patients without eye symptoms, because such patients may develop permanent vision impairment
- Anterior uveitis is the most common manifestation of ocular sarcoidosis
- Optic neuritis, presenting with partial or total loss of vision or color acuity, is an emergency that can cause blindness if not treated immediately

Table III. Monitoring recommendations for cutaneous sarcoidosis

Interval of evaluation

- Treated patients should be examined at least once every 6 months
- Patients with stable disease that do not require therapy may be followed annually
- Patients should be followed for at least 3 years after therapy has been discontinued

Evaluation at every visit

- Medical history: skin lesions, weight loss, fever, fatigue, dyspnea, shortness of breath, cough, ocular problems, upper airway complaints, symptoms of nephrolithiasis, palpitations, syncope, symptoms of congestive heart failure, and neurologic complaints*
- Physical examination: lung, cardiac, abdomen, and skin examinations, palpation of lymph nodes, and neurologic examination*

Tests ordered annually

- Ophthalmologic examination: slit-lamp and fundoscopic eye examination
- Electrocardiogram (controversial)
- Chest radiograph
- Pulmonary function tests
- Complete blood count
- Serum chemistries

*Perform appropriate additional testing if the medical history or physical examination suggests potential specific organ involvement.

Ocular sarcoidosis is present in 10% to 50% of American and European patients and in 50% to 90% of Japanese patients with sarcoidosis.²⁴ African Americans are more likely than whites to have eye involvement.²⁵ All patients should be regularly followed with ophthalmologic examinations because permanent ocular damage may result from initial asymptomatic eye involvement (Table V).^{24,26}

Anterior uveitis, the most common ocular manifestation, occurs in approximately two thirds of ocular sarcoidosis cases.²⁶ Symptoms of anterior uveitis include blurry vision, red eye, photophobia, and eye pain. Notably, one third of the time, acute sarcoid anterior uveitis may cause no symptoms.²⁷ However, it still has the potential to permanently impair vision; therefore, all newly diagnosed sarcoidosis patients should be evaluated by an ophthalmologist even if they have no ocular symptoms. The prognosis of acute anterior uveitis is better than chronic uveitis, which often causes secondary complications, such as glaucoma, band keratopathy, and cataracts.^{26,28} It may be difficult to distinguish sarcoidosis complications from those caused by corticosteroid therapy. Posterior uveitis may lead to permanent retinal damage and requires

Table IV. Radiographic stages of thoracic sarcoidosis*

Stage	Bilateral hilar adenopathy	Parenchymal infiltrates	Fibrosis
0	Absent	Absent	Absent
1	Present	Absent	Absent
2	Present	Present	Absent
3	Absent	Present	Absent
4	Variable	Variable	Present

*Modified from Judson et al.¹⁷

Table V. Marmestations of ocular saleolaosis

Opthalmologic feature	Prevalence*	Symptoms
Anterior uveitis	66-70%	Asymptomatic, blurry vision, red eyes, painful eyes, and photophobia
Intermediate uveitis	Unknown	Painless blurry vision and floaters
Posterior uveitis	14-28%	Floaters, blurry vision, and photophobia
Lids and orbit	26%	Lids: dry eyes and lid granulomas; orbit: diplopia, pain with movement, and eye entrapment ²⁸
Optic neuropathy	<1-7%	Rapid loss of vision or color vision

*Prevalence of ophthalmologic findings in patients with ocular sarcoidosis.

aggressive therapy. Posterior uveitis is associated with an increased risk of central nervous system involvement.²⁶ Uveitis has been associated with specific skin manifestations, such as maculopapular eruptions,²⁹ infiltrative scar sarcoidosis,²⁹ and lupus pernio.¹⁰ Optic neuritis usually presents with blurring and partial loss of vision or color acuity and is an emergency that requires immediate treatment to prevent permanent blindness in the affected eye.

CARDIAC SARCOIDOSIS Kev points

- All patients with sarcoidosis should be evaluated for cardiac sarcoidosis. At a minimum, this evaluation should include a medical history directed at symptoms of left ventricular dysfunction and cardiac arrhythmia and an electrocardiogram
- Manifestations range from asymptomatic electrocardiographic abnormalities to fatal arrhythmias and heart failure

Table VI.	Frequency	of cardiac	sarcoidosis
symptoms	*		

Presentation	Frequency [†]
Atrioventricular block	26-62%
Bundle branch block	12-61%
Ventricular tachycardia	2-42%
Congestive heart failure	10-30%
Sudden death	12-65%

*Modified from Kim et al.³⁴

 $^{\dagger}\mbox{Frequency}$ of cardiac presentations in patients with cardiac sarcoidosis.

• Positron emission tomographic and gadolinium-enhanced cardiac magnetic resonance imaging scans are useful diagnostic imaging techniques for assessing cardiac sarcoidosis

Cardiac sarcoidosis often remains clinically undetected throughout the patient's lifetime. Although only 5% of sarcoidosis cases have clinical manifestations of cardiac disease,³⁰ an autopsy study of 84 patients revealed that 27% of patients with sarcoidosis had granulomatous infiltration of the heart.³¹ Cardiac involvement accounts for 13% to 25% of sarcoidosis-related deaths in the United States³¹ compared with 58% to 85% in Japan.^{32,33}

While cardiac sarcoidosis is often asymptomatic, the initial disease presentation may be sudden death (Table VI).³⁴ The clinical course is dependent on the extent of myocardial involvement and the location of the granulomas.³⁴ The disease can cause conduction abnormalities, arrhythmias, heart failure, and pericardial effusions. Associated symptoms include dyspnea, palpitations, syncope, dizziness, chest pain, orthopnea, and peripheral edema. Cardiac sarcoidosis should be considered in any individual, especially a young person, with impairment of the conducting system or heart failure without apparent cause.³⁵ In support of this contention, an observational study from Helsinki University Central Hospital revealed that 19% of patients under 55 years of age with a pacemaker for unexplained atrioventricular block had biopsy-proven cardiac sarcoidosis.³⁶

It has been suggested that all patients with sarcoidosis should be evaluated with an electrocardiogram.³⁴ However, the presence of cardiac symptoms, such as palpitations, presyncope, or syncope, and Holter monitoring, appears to be more sensitive than electrocardiography for the detection of cardiac sarcoidosis.³⁷ Some have recommended echocardiography as a screening test for cardiac sarcoidosis, despite a low sensitivity and variable specificity.³⁴

Neurologic features	Frequency
Cranial neuropathy	50-75%
Parenchymal brain lesions	50%
Cognitive/behavioral manifestations	20%
Meningeal disease	10-20%
Peripheral neuropathy	15%
Spinal lesions	5-10%
Seizure	5-10%
Myopathy	1.4-2.3%

Table VII. Frequency of neurologic manifestationsin patients with neurosarcoidosis*

*Modified from Terushkin et al.⁵³

In terms of the diagnosis of cardiac sarcoidosis, endomyocardial biopsy is a specific test, but it is not routinely performed because the diagnostic yield is extremely low. In one study, the diagnostic yield of endomyocardial biopsy for cardiac sarcoidosis was only 36% from patients with ventricular dysfunction and 7% in those with conduction disturbances.³⁸

Because of the poor diagnostic yield and invasiveness of endomyocardial biopsy, the diagnosis of cardiac sarcoidosis is often established using noninvasive imaging studies such as 67Ga scanning, MRI, or cardiac PET scanning.³⁹ PET scanning with 18F-FDG detects active disease and fibrosis in the myocardium,^{40,41} and its sensitivity ranges from 82% to 100%.^{42,43} Along with PET, gadolinium-enhanced cardiac MRI is favored as a diagnostic test for cardiac sarcoidosis.^{44,45} Smedema et al⁴⁶ reported a sensitivity of 100% and specificity of 78% for cardiac MRI, and concluded that this type of imaging is both a useful and cost-effective tool to determine cardiac involvement.⁴⁶

In terms of monitoring cardiac sarcoidosis, ejection fraction determination to assess myocardial function and 24-hour Holter monitoring to detect impairment of the conducting system have also been used.³⁹ The frequency of monitoring and the choice of test depend on the clinical signs and symptoms. All patients with evidence of left ventricular dysfunction require regular assessment of ejection fraction, segmental wall motion, and left ventricular volume. All cases of left ventricular dysfunction should also be monitored for heart block and arrhythmias. Evaluation for cardiac arrhythmias should be considered in any patient with cardiac sarcoidosis, because the initial presentation may be sudden death.³⁴

CENTRAL NERVOUS SYSTEM DISEASE Key points

• Sarcoidosis may affect all portions of the nervous system. There is a predilection for the base of the brain



Fig 2. Facial nerve palsy with granulomatous papules.

- The most common manifestation of neurosarcoidosis is a cranial neuropathy
- Magnetic resonance imaging is the imaging modality of choice for the diagnosis and management of neurosarcoidosis

Involvement of the nervous system occurs in approximately 5% to 15% of patients with sarcoidosis.⁴⁷ Neurosarcoidosis usually presents within 2 years of the onset of sarcoidosis.^{48,49} Cranial nerve involvement is a common presentation of neurosarcoidosis (Table VII), particularly a seventh cranial nerve palsy that causes facial paralysis in a lower motor neuron distribution (Bell's palsy; Fig 2).⁵⁰ Additional manifestations of neurosarcoidosis include central nervous system mass lesions, neuroendocrine abnormalities, encephalopathy, seizures, cognitive and behavioral symptoms, and spinal sarcoidosis.⁵¹⁻⁵⁴

Neural tissue biopsy has a high risk of complications; therefore, the diagnosis of neurosarcoidosis is generally made by indirect methods.⁵³ In addition, because isolated neurologic disease is rarely the sole manifestation of sarcoidosis, most patients have extraneural disease from which a biopsy specimen can be obtained to establish a tissue diagnosis.⁴⁸ MRI imaging with and without intravenous gadolinium contrast is often used to confirm neurosarcoidosis.⁵³ However, MRI findings are not specific for sarcoidosis⁵⁵; therefore, the presence of MRI findings requires correlation with the clinical features to ensure the diagnosis of neurosarcoidosis. In addition, the sensitivity of MRI scanning for neurosarcoidosis is not ideal, because it was reported at 85% in one study.⁵⁵ Abnormal cerebral spinal fluid findings include lymphocytic pleocytosis and decreased glucose and elevated protein levels. These findings have a low specificity and sensitivity,^{53,56} but they support

the diagnosis of neurosarcoidosis in the proper clinical context. $^{\rm 57}$

OTHER ORGAN INVOLVEMENT Key points

- Sarcoidosis may involve other organs, including the liver, spleen, kidneys, and upper respiratory tract
- Calcium dysregulation, caused by the overproduction of active vitamin D, may result in hypercalcemia and hypercalciuria
- Peripheral lymphadenopathy and hematologic abnormalities may occur

The reported frequency of hepatic sarcoidosis varies greatly depending on the method of detection. Hepatic granulomas are present in 50% to 65% of liver biopsy specimens from patients with sarcoidosis.58 Elevated serum liver functions tests may be detected in up to 35% of patients.⁵⁹ However, signs or symptoms of liver involvement manifest in only 5% to 15% of patients.⁶⁰⁻⁶² Treatment is only necessary in symptomatic cases.⁶³ Significant hepatic dysfunction with severe hyperbilirubinemia or impaired synthetic ability is rare in hepatic sarcoidosis and, therefore, alternative causes should be excluded.⁶⁴ Splenic involvement occurs in 10% to 50% of sarcoidosis patients and requires no treatment in the absence of symptoms.⁶⁴ Sarcoidal lesions of the upper airways are underappreciated.⁶⁵ The nasal sinuses are the most likely affected portion of the upper airway, and typical symptoms include crusting, nasal congestion, and epistaxis.^{64,65} Involvement of the thyroid gland,⁶⁶ breast,⁶⁷ reproductive tract, and other organs of the gastrointestinal tract⁶³ is rare.

Acute sarcoid arthritis is often associated with erythema nodosum and affects up to 40% of sarcoidosis patients.⁶⁸ It can precede other manifestations of sarcoidosis by months.⁶⁴ The ankles are the most commonly involved joints, followed by the knees.^{68,69} Notably, acute arthritis usually has a benign course and resolves in 3 to 6 months.⁷⁰ Chronic sarcoid arthritis affects only 0.2% of sarcoid patients,⁷¹ and if untreated can progress to permanent joint destruction.⁷²

Osseous lesions are usually asymptomatic and rarely require treatment.⁷³ Musculoskeletal involvement in sarcoidosis is often subclinical.^{53,68} Retrospective studies have reported that less than 2% of patients have symptomatic muscle disease.^{68,74-76} Similar to hepatic sarcoidosis, biopsy specimens from muscles of asymptomatic patients have revealed noncaseating granulomas in 50% to 80% of patients.^{74,77,78} It is important to differentiate sarcoid myopathy from steroid-induced myopathy.

Abnormal calcium metabolism commonly develops with sarcoidosis. The prevalence of hypercalciuria (40-62%) is much greater than the prevelance of hypercalcemia (5-10%).79 Measurement of 24-hour urinary secretion of calcium has been recommended by some authors initially and on a routine basis.^{3,80} This may be particularly prudent in white patients, who have a much higher frequency of disordered calcium metabolism in sarcoidosis than African Americans.²⁵ Impaired calcium regulation in sarcoidosis is primarily attributed to the increased production of 1,25 di-hydroxy vitamin D.⁸¹ 1,25 di-hydroxy vitamin D promotes intestinal calcium absorption and urinary calcium excretion. 1,25 di-hydroxy vitamin D is overproduced in sarcoidosis because of increased conversion from 25-hydroxy vitamin D in activated macrophages. This is clinically important because 25-hydroxy vitamin D is routinely measured to determine vitamin D status in patients. A low 25-hydroxy vitamin D level in an active sarcoidosis patient may be the result of excessive conversion of 25-hydroxy vitamin D to 1,25-hydroxy vitamin D. Treatment of such patients for a "low vitamin D level" may have disastrous consequences, such as nephrolithiasis or renal failure.⁸²⁻⁸⁴ To complicate matters further, vitamin D and calcium dysregulation, as well as chronic steroid use, may affect bone health.⁸³ For the reasons outlined above, a detailed analysis of vitamin D status is required before administering vitamin D to patients with sarcoidosis. Bisphosphonates may be recommended for sarcoidosis patients with osteoporosis or those subjected to chronic corticosteroids.84

Peripheral lymphadenopathy is present in approximately 10% of sarcoidosis cases.⁸⁵ Hematologic abnormalities, especially peripheral lymphopenia, anemia, and pancytopenia, eventually develop in 30% of patients.⁸⁶ The occurrence of thrombocytopenia in patients with sarcoidosis has been noted.^{87,88}

Because of the heterogeneous manifestations of sarcoidosis, several syndromes with specific constellations of physical signs and symptoms have been recognized. Löfgren syndrome is characterized by the acute onset of arthritis, arthralgia, erythema nodosum, bilateral hilar lymphadenopathy, and fever.⁸⁹ This combination of findings is a very typical presentation of sarcoidosis; in the proper clinical context, the diagnosis of sarcoidosis can be assumed without a biopsy specimen of the affected tissue.¹ The same could be said for Heerfordt syndrome, also known as uveoparotid fever, which manifests as uveitis, parotid enlargement, facial nerve palsy, and fever.⁹⁰ Heerfordt syndrome accounts for a small percentage of sarcoidosis cases, but when present is strongly indicative of the disease. Mikulicz syndrome is characterized by

bilateral enlargement of the parotid, sublingual, lacrimal, or submandibular glands.⁹¹ Historically, Mikulicz syndrome has been considered a form of Sjögren syndrome, but it has also been associated with many different diseases, including lymphoma, lupus, and, rarely, sarcoidosis.⁹²

SUMMARY

In nearly one third of cases, skin lesions are the presenting manifestation of sarcoidosis. Therefore, dermatologists often establish the diagnosis and may be the primary physician providing medical care. Without prompt recognition and early treatment, systemic sarcoidosis can cause significant morbidity. To that end, a general knowledge of the signs, symptoms, and proper evaluation of extracutaneous sarcoidosis is critical to the patient's overall care. The initial evaluation of all patients diagnosed with sarcoidosis should include a detailed history, physical examination, and laboratory studies aimed at excluding alternative causes of granulomatous inflammation and assessing sarcoidosis involvement of various organs. Additional studies may be warranted if this evaluation raises clinical suspicion for specific organ involvement. Because of the disease's variable clinical course, periodic evaluations of existing organ involvement and monitoring for new organ involvement should be undertaken.

REFERENCES

- 1. Judson MA. The diagnosis of sarcoidosis. Clin Chest Med 2008; 29:415-27.
- Gibson LE, Winkelmann RK. The diagnosis and differential diagnosis of cutaneous sarcoidosis. Clin Dermatol 1986;4: 62-74.
- Iannuzzi MC, Rybicki BA, Teirstein AS. Sarcoidosis. N Engl J Med 2007;357:2153-65.
- Costabel U, Guzman J, Baughman RP. Systemic evaluation of a potential cutaneous sarcoidosis patient. Clin Dermatol 2007; 25:303-11.
- Kruit A, Grutters JC, Gerritsen WB, Kos S, Wodzig WK, van den Bosch JM, et al. ACE I/D-corrected Z-scores to identify normal and elevated ACE activity in sarcoidosis. Respir Med 2007;101: 510-5.
- 6. Selroos OB. Biochemical markers in sarcoidosis. Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci 1986;24:185-216.
- Ziegenhagen MW, Rothe ME, Schlaak M, Muller-Quernheim J. Bronchoalveolar and serological parameters reflecting the severity of sarcoidosis. Eur Respir J 2003;21:407-13.
- 8. English JC 3rd, Patel PJ, Greer KE. Sarcoidosis. J Am Acad Dermatol 2001;44:725-43.
- 9. Callen JP, Hanno R. Serum angiotensin I-converting enzyme level in patients with cutaneous sarcoidal granulomas. Arch Dermatol 1982;118:232-3.
- Mana J, Marcoval J, Graells J, Salazar A, Peyri J, Pujol R. Cutaneous involvement in sarcoidosis. Relationship to systemic disease. Arch Dermatol 1997;133:882-8.
- Fernandez-Faith E, McDonnell J. Cutaneous sarcoidosis: differential diagnosis. Clin Dermatol 2007;25:276-87.

- Hunninghake GW, Costabel U, Ando M, Baughman R, Cordier JF, du Bois R, et al. ATS/ERS/WASOG statement on sarcoidosis. American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society/World Association of Sarcoidosis and other Granulomatous Disorders. Sarcoidosis Vasc Diffuse Lung Dis 1999;16: 149-73.
- Judson MA, Baughman RP, Thompson BW, Teirstein AS, Terrin ML, Rossman MD, et al. Two year prognosis of sarcoidosis: the ACCESS experience. Sarcoidosis Vasc Diffuse Lung Dis 2003;20: 204-11.
- 14. Baughman RP. Pulmonary sarcoidosis. Clin Chest Med 2004;25: 521-30.
- Sones M, Israel HL. Course and prognosis of sarcoidosis. Am J Med 1960;29:84-93.
- Lynch JP 3rd, Kazerooni EA, Gay SE. Pulmonary sarcoidosis. Clin Chest Med 1997;18:755-85.
- Judson MA. Sarcoidosis: clinical presentation, diagnosis, and approach to treatment. Am J Med Sci 2008;335:26-33.
- Rømer FK. Presentation of sarcoidosis and outcome of pulmonary changes. Dan Med Bull 1982;29:27-32.
- Mihailovic-Vucinic V, Jovanovic D. Pulmonary sarcoidosis. Clin Chest Med 2008;29:459-73.
- Siltzbach LE, James DG, Neville E, Turiaf J, Battesti JP, Sharma OP, et al. Course and prognosis of sarcoidosis around the world. Am J Med 1974;57:847-52.
- 21. Judson MA. The management of sarcoidosis by the primary care physician. Am J Med 2007;120:403-7.
- 22. Shorr AF, Davies DB, Nathan SD. Predicting mortality in patients with sarcoidosis awaiting lung transplantation. Chest 2003;124:922-8.
- Baughman RP, Engel PJ, Taylor L, Lower EE. Survival in sarcoidosis-associated pulmonary hypertension: the importance of hemodynamic evaluation. Chest 2010;138:1078-85.
- Ohara K, Judson MA, Baughman RP. Clinical aspects of ocular sarcoidosis. Eur Respir Monogr 2005;10:188-209.
- Baughman RP, Teirstein AS, Judson MA, Rossman MD, Yeager H Jr, Bresnitz EA, et al. Clinical characteristics of patients in a case control study of sarcoidosis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2001;164(10 pt 1):1885-9.
- 26. Jabs D, Nguyen QD. Sarcoidosis. In: Ryan S, editor. Retina. 4th ed. Los Angeles: Elsevier Mosby; 2006.
- Rothova A, Alberts C, Glasius E, Kijlstra A, Buitenhuis HJ, Breebaart AC. Risk factors for ocular sarcoidosis. Doc Ophthalmol 1989;72:287-96.
- 28. Baughman RP, Lower EE, Kaufman AH. Ocular sarcoidosis. Semin Respir Crit Care Med 2010;31:452-62.
- 29. Scadding JG, Mitchell DN, editors. Sarcoidosis of the skin. London: Chapman & Hall Medical; 1985. pp. 181-206.
- Sharma OP, Maheshwari A, Thaker K. Myocardial sarcoidosis. Chest 1993;103:253-8.
- Silverman KJ, Hutchins GM, Bulkley BH. Cardiac sarcoid: a clinicopathologic study of 84 unselected patients with systemic sarcoidosis. Circulation 1978;58:1204-11.
- Iwai K, Sekiguti M, Hosoda Y, DeRemee RA, Tazelaar HD, Sharma OP, et al. Racial difference in cardiac sarcoidosis incidence observed at autopsy. Sarcoidosis 1994;11:26-31.
- Rybicki BA, Major M, Popovich J Jr, Maliarik MJ, lannuzzi MC. Racial differences in sarcoidosis incidence: a 5-year study in a health maintenance organization. Am J Epidemiol 1997;145: 234-41.
- Kim JS, Judson MA, Donnino R, Gold M, Cooper LT Jr, Prystowsky EN, et al. Cardiac sarcoidosis. Am Heart J 2009; 157:9-21.
- Dubrey SW, Falk RH. Diagnosis and management of cardiac sarcoidosis. Prog Cardiovasc Dis 2010;52:336-46.

- Kandolin R, Lehtonen J, Kupari M. Cardiac sarcoidosis and giant cell myocarditis as causes of atrioventricular block in young and middle-aged adults. Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol 2011;4:303-9.
- Mehta D, Lubitz SA, Frankel Z, Wisnivesky JP, Einstein AJ, Goldman M, et al. Cardiac involvement in patients with sarcoidosis: diagnostic and prognostic value of outpatient testing. Chest 2008;133:1426-35.
- Uemura A, Morimoto S, Hiramitsu S, Kato Y, Ito T, Hishida H. Histologic diagnostic rate of cardiac sarcoidosis: evaluation of endomyocardial biopsies. Am Heart J 1999;138(2 pt 1): 299-302.
- Smedema JP, Snoep G, van Kroonenburgh MP, van Geuns RJ, Dassen WR, Gorgels AP, et al. Cardiac involvement in patients with pulmonary sarcoidosis assessed at two university medical centers in the Netherlands. Chest 2005;128:30-5.
- 40. Lewis PJ, Salama A. Uptake of fluorine-18-fluorodeoxyglucose in sarcoidosis. J Nucl Med 1994;35:1647-9.
- 41. Jain V, Hasselquist S, Delaney MD. PET scanning in sarcoidosis. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2011;1228:46-58.
- 42. Ishimaru S, Tsujino I, Takei T, Tsukamoto E, Sakaue S, Kamigaki M, et al. Focal uptake on 18F-fluoro-2-deoxyglucose positron emission tomography images indicates cardiac involvement of sarcoidosis. Eur Heart J 2005;26:1538-43.
- 43. Ohira H, Tsujino I, Ishimaru S, Oyama N, Takei T, Tsukamoto E, et al. Myocardial imaging with 18F-fluoro-2-deoxyglucose positron emission tomography and magnetic resonance imaging in sarcoidosis. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2008;35: 933-41.
- Hiraga H, Yuwai K, Hiroe M. Guideline for the diagnosis of cardiac sarcoidosis: study report on diffuse pulmonary diseases [in Japanese]. Tokyo, Japan: Ministry of Health and Welfare; 1993. pp. 23-4.
- 45. Tadamura E, Yamamuro M, Kubo S, Kanao S, Saga T, Harada M, et al. Effectiveness of delayed enhanced MRI for identification of cardiac sarcoidosis: comparison with radionuclide imaging. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2005;185:110-5.
- 46. Smedema JP, Snoep G, van Kroonenburgh MP, van Geuns RJ, Dassen WR, Gorgels AP, et al. Evaluation of the accuracy of gadolinium-enhanced cardiovascular magnetic resonance in the diagnosis of cardiac sarcoidosis. J Am Coll Cardiol 2005;45: 1683-90.
- 47. James DG, Sharma OP. Neurosarcoidosis. Proc R Soc Med 1967;60(11 pt 1):1169-70.
- 48. Stern BJ, Krumholz A, Johns C, Scott P, Nissim J. Sarcoidosis and its neurological manifestations. Arch Neurol 1985;42:909-17.
- Oksanen V, Gronhagen-Riska C, Fyhrquist F, Somer H. Systemic manifestations and enzyme studies in sarcoidosis with neurologic involvement. Acta Med Scand 1985;218:123-7.
- 50. Nowak DA, Widenka DC. Neurosarcoidosis: a review of its intracranial manifestation. J Neurol 2001;248:363-72.
- 51. Lower EE, Weiss KL. Neurosarcoidosis. Clin Chest Med 2008;29: 475-92.
- 52. Murialdo G, Tamagno G. Endocrine aspects of neurosarcoidosis. J Endocrinol Invest 2002;25:650-62.
- Terushkin V, Stern BJ, Judson MA, Hagiwara M, Pramanik B, Sanchez M, et al. Neurosarcoidosis: presentations and management. Neurologist 2010;16:2-15.
- Jaster JH, Dohan FC Jr, Bertorini TE, Bass JE, Monkemuller KE, Handorf CR, et al. Solitary spinal cord sarcoidosis without other manifestations of systemic sarcoidosis. Clin Imaging 1997;21:17-22.
- Sherman JL, Stern BJ. Sarcoidosis of the CNS: comparison of unenhanced and enhanced MR images. AJR Am J Roentgenol 1990;155:1293-301.

- Dale JC, O'Brien JF. Determination of angiotensin-converting enzyme levels in cerebrospinal fluid is not a useful test for the diagnosis of neurosarcoidosis. Mayo Clin Proc 1999;74: 535.
- Tahmoush AJ, Amir MS, Connor WW, Farry JK, Didato S, Ulhoa-Cintra A, et al. CSF-ACE activity in probable CNS neurosarcoidosis. Sarcoidosis Vasc Diffuse Lung Dis 2002;19:191-7.
- Irani SK, Dobbins WO 3rd. Hepatic granulomas: review of 73 patients from one hospital and survey of the literature. J Clin Gastroenterol 1979;1:131-43.
- Vatti R, Sharma OP. Course of asymptomatic liver involvement in sarcoidosis: role of therapy in selected cases. Sarcoidosis Vasc Diffuse Lung Dis 1997;14:73-6.
- Lehmuskallio E, Hannuksela M, Halme H. The liver in sarcoidosis. Acta Med Scand 1977;202:289-93.
- Chamuleau RA, Sprangers RL, Alberts C, Schipper ME. Sarcoidosis and chronic intrahepatic cholestasis. Neth J Med 1985;28: 470-6.
- Devaney K, Goodman ZD, Epstein MS, Zimmerman HJ, Ishak KG. Hepatic sarcoidosis. Clinicopathologic features in 100 patients. Am J Surg Pathol 1993;17:1272-80.
- 63. Judson MA. Hepatic, splenic, and gastrointestinal involvement with sarcoidosis. Semin Respir Crit Care Med 2002;23: 529-41.
- Judson MA. Extrapulmonary sarcoidosis. Semin Respir Crit Care Med 2007;28:83-101.
- 65. Sharma OP. Sarcoidosis of the upper respiratory tract. Selected cases emphasizing diagnostic and therapeutic difficulties. Sarcoidosis Vasc Diffuse Lung Dis 2002;19:227-33.
- Lemerre D, Caron F, Delval O, Goujon JM, Hira M, Meurice JC, et al. Thyroid manifestations of sarcoidosis: a case report [in French]. Rev Pneumol Clin 1999;55:393-6.
- 67. Gisvold JJ, Crotty TB, Johnson RE. Sarcoidosis presenting as spiculated breast masses. Mayo Clinic Proc 2000;75:293-5.
- Zisman DA, Shorr AF, Lynch JP 3rd. Sarcoidosis involving the musculoskeletal system. Semin Respir Crit Care Med 2002;23: 555-70.
- Mañá J, Gómez-Vaquero C, Montero A, Salazar A, Marcoval J, Valverde J, et al. Löfgren's syndrome revisited: a study of 186 patients. Am J Med 1999;107:240-5.
- Awada H, Abi-Karam G, Fayad F. Musculoskeletal and other extrapulmonary disorders in sarcoidosis. Best Pract Res 2003; 17:971-87.
- Torralba KD, Quismorio FP Jr. Sarcoid arthritis: a review of clinical features, pathology and therapy. Sarcoidosis Vasc Diffuse Lung Dis 2003;20:95-103.
- 72. Pettersson T. Rheumatic features of sarcoidosis. Curr Opin Rheumatol 1998;10:73-8.
- Wilcox A, Bharadwaj P, Sharma OP. Bone sarcoidosis. Curr Opin Rheumatol 2000;12:321-30.
- Douglas AC, Macleod JG, Matthews JD. Symptomatic sarcoidosis of skeletal muscle. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1973;36: 1034-40.

- Silverstein A, Siltzbach LE. Muscle involvement in sarcoidosis. Asymptomatic, myositis, and myopathy. Arch Neurol 1969;21: 235-41.
- Mayock RL, Bertrand P, Morrison CE, Scott JH. Manifestations of sarcoidosis. Analysis of 145 patients, with a review of nine series selected from the literature. Am J Med 1963;35:67-89.
- Stjernberg N, Cajander S, Truedsson H, Uddenfeldt P. Muscle involvement in sarcoidosis. Acta Med Scand 1981;209:213-6.
- Baydur A, Pandya K, Sharma OP, Kanel GC, Carlson M. Control of ventilation, respiratory muscle strength, and granulomatous involvement of skeletal muscle in patients with sarcoidosis. Chest 1993;103:396-402.
- Conron M, Young C, Beynon HL. Calcium metabolism in sarcoidosis and its clinical implications. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2000;39:707-13.
- Berliner AR, Haas M, Choi MJ. Sarcoidosis: the nephrologist's perspective. Am J Kidney Dis 2006;48:856-70.
- Vucinic V, Skodric-Trifunovic V, Ignjatović S. How to diagnose and manage difficult problems of calcium metabolism in sarcoidosis: an evidence-based review. Curr Opin Pulm Med 2011;17:297-302.
- Kavathia D, Buckley JD, Rao D, Rybicki B, Burke R. Elevated 1, 25-dihydroxyvitamin D levels are associated with protracted treatment in sarcoidosis. Respir Med 2010;104:564-70.
- Sweiss NJ, Lower EE, Korsten P, Niewold TB, Favus MJ, Baughman RP. Bone health issues in sarcoidosis. Curr Rheumatol Rep 2011;13:265-72.
- Sage RJ, Rao DS, Burke RR, Lim HW. Preventing vitamin D toxicity in patients with sarcoidosis. J Am Acad Dermatol 2011; 64:795-6.
- Rizzato G, Montemurro L. The clinical spectrum of the sarcoid peripheral lymph node. Sarcoidosis Vasc Diffuse Lung Dis 2000;17:71-80.
- Lower EE, Smith JT, Martelo OJ, Baughman RP. The anemia of sarcoidosis. Sarcoidosis 1988;5:51-5.
- Mahevas M, Le Page L, Salle V, Lescure FX, Smail A, Cevallos R, et al. Thrombocytopenia in sarcoidosis. Sarcoidosis Vasc Diffuse Lung Dis 2006;23:229-35.
- Dickerman JD, Holbrook PR, Zinkham WH. Etiology and therapy of thrombocytopenia associated with sarcoidosis. J Pediatr 1972;81:758-64.
- Grunewald J, Brynedal B, Darlington P, Nisell M, Cederlund K, Hillert J, et al. Different HLA-DRB1 allele distributions in distinct clinical subgroups of sarcoidosis patients. Respir Res 2010;11:25.
- Bopp FP, Cheney ML, Donzis PB, White JA, Reed HT. Heerfordt syndrome: a cause of facial paralysis. J La State Med Soc 1990; 142:13-5.
- 91. Calguneri M, Kisacik B. Mikulicz's syndrome: old disease and new view. J Rheumatol 2007;34:1941.
- Imai T, Yoshihara T. A case of Mikulicz's syndrome associated with sarcoidosis [in Japanese]. Nihon Jibiinkoka Gakkai Kaiho 2009;112:25-8.