Insect hypersensitivity beyond bee and wasp venom allergy

Wolfgang Hemmer and Felix Wantke

Floridsdorf Allergy Center, Vienna

©2020 Dustri-Verlag Dr. K. Feistle ISSN 2512-8957

> DOI 10.5414/ALX02123E e-pub: November 30, 2020

Key words

blood-feeding insects – mosquito allergy – mosquitoes – horse flies – black flies – biting midges – salivary allergens

German version published in Allergologie, Vol. 43, No. 2/2020, pp. 73-81

Received June 26, 2019 accepted in revised form November 14, 2019

Correspondence to Wolfgang Hemmer, PhD Floridsdorf Allergy Center, Pius-Parsch-Platz 1/3, 1210 Vienna, Austria hemmer@faz.at

Abstract. The bites of blood-feeding insects regularly induce sensitization to salivary proteins and cause local hypersensitivity reactions in over 90% of the population, representing either an IgE-mediated immediate wheal and flare reaction or a T celldriven delayed papule. Long-lasting large local reactions and bullous reactions may cause significant discomfort and reduction in quality-of-life. Anaphylaxis is rarely reported though proven for several insects, above all mosquitoes, horse flies, and kissing bugs. Recently, salivary gland proteins have been thoroughly studied in some blood-feeding insect species, and several allergens have been identified. Interestingly, many of them belong to the same protein families as the wellknown honeybee and wasp venom allergens (phospholipases, hyaluronidases, antigens 5, serine proteases) though sequence identities are mostly low. There is still insufficient evidence for the proposed cross-reactivity between salivary proteins from blood-feeding insects and Hymenoptera venom allergens.

Introduction

In contrast to bees and wasps, bloodfeeding insects get less attention in allergological practice, because their bites primarily cause cutaneous hypersensitivity reactions and rarely anaphylaxis. In addition, the usually mild bite reactions are often believed to be infections or toxic by nature, although they actually represent true immune reactions. The borderline between "normal" and "allergic" is vague.

Cutaneous immediate and late reaction as ubiquitous reaction patterns

The insect saliva secreted during the bite contains biogenic amines and vasoactive

peptides as well as various anticoagulant proteins and digestive enzymes, which regularly induce an antigen-specific immune response in the host [33, 49]. Some saliva components have been shown to have an immunomodulatory effect in terms of Th2 polarization, possibly as an evolutionary strategy to complete blood meal more rapidly through inducing local vasodilation [3].

In the majority of people, either a transient immediate cutaneous reaction characterized by wheals, erythema, and itching occurs within a few minutes at the bite site (immediate reaction), or an indurated papule persisting for several days develops after 12 - 24 hours (late reaction) (Figure 1a, b, c). In Central Europe, > 70% of the population develops a cutaneous immediate reaction after mosquito bites, 50 - 60% a late reaction, and 40% both [13]. Similar values have been reported from Asia and Central America [11, 22].

The immediate wheal-and-flare reaction has been shown to represent a localized IgEmediated type 1 reaction [23, 33]. In addition to histamine, probably also capsaicin-sensitive sensory neurons play an essential role in bite-associated itching [29]. The heterogeneous delayed reactions have been little studied thus far. They probably represent primarily T-cell-dependent type 4 reactions and show non-specific infiltrates with macrophages, neutrophils, eosinophils and CD4+/ CD8+ T lymphocytes. The saliva allergens are already secreted by the insect during probing, so that skin reactions occur even if the feeding process is interrupted (Figure 1a, b).

Cutaneous reactivity patterns often show a characteristic chronological sequence of different reaction stages, starting with no reaction and progressing via delayed reaction and immediate-type reaction to secondary non-responsiveness (Table 1). Upon average



Figure 1. Skin reactions after bites by hematophagous insects. a, b: Immediate wheal and flare reaction during a bite provocation with a mosquito, "double" wheal after interruption of blood feeding; c: Type 4 late reactions (indurated papules) after multiple mosquito bites in an infant; d, e, f: Large local reactions after mosquito bites; g: Pearl-like arranged skin lesions caused by fleas bites; h: Bacterial superinfections due to scratching after multiple mosquito bites; i, j: Bullous skin reactions caused by flea bites after 3 (i) and 5 (j) days; k: Massive bullous bite reaction after a suspected black fly bite.

Table 1. Stage theory of the progression of cutaneous hypersensitivity reactions to bites from hematophagous insects.

Stage	Immediate reaction	Delayed reaction	
1 (naive)	—	-	
2	—	+	
3	+	+	
4	+	_	
5 (tolerance)	—	_	

exposure, 80 - 90% of children and adults remain in stages 2 - 4 for decades [30]. Only ~ 5% achieve secondary tolerance [13, 22, 26], especially in case of a very high number of insect bites such as e.g. in Lapland, where up to 50% of the population become non-reactive [41]. The stage concept, which is largely based on epidemiological observations, was also experimentally proven in a volunteer receiving a total of 2,000 mosquito bites over a 10-months period [33].

Large local reactions

Severe skin reactions in terms of delayed swellings up to > 10 cm in diameter, blisters or necroses are found in 3 – 10% (Figure 1d, e, f, i, j, k) [11, 13]. The pathomechanisms underlying these reactions are poorly understood. In some cases, skin reactions may be accompanied by general symptoms like fever and lymphadenopathy ("Skeeter syndrome") [45]. Superinfections are not uncommon to occur (Figure 1h) and may require antibiotic therapy.

Papular urticaria is a chronic generalized cutaneous hypersensitivity reaction that is seen especially in tropical regions in children after mosquito, bug, and flea bites [10]. It is characterized by multiple, disseminated urticarial and papular lesions and is probably due to the restimulation of resident T cells following new bites.

Differential diagnosis of allergic hypersensitivity includes severe bullous necrotic

Scientific name	English name	Important genera	C, S					
Diptera (flies and midges)								
Nematocera (midges)								
Culicidae	mosquitoes	Aedes, Culex, Anopheles	C, S					
Simuliidae	black flies	Simulium	c, s?					
Ceratopogonidae	biting midges Culicoides, Forcipomyia		С					
Phlebotomidae	sand flies	Phlebotomus, Lutzomyia	с					
Brachycera (flies)								
Tabanidae	horse flies, deer flies	Haematopota, Tabanus, Chrysops	C, S					
Glossinidae	tsetse flies	Glossina	C, S					
Muscidae	house/stable flies	Stomoxys	С					
Hippoboscidae	louse flies, keds	Hippobosca, Lipoptena	C, S					
Siphonaptera (fleas)								
Pulicidae	fleas	Pulex, Ctenocephalides	с					
Heteroptera (bugs)								
Reduviidae	kissing bugs	Triatoma	C, S					
Cimicidae	bed bugs	Cimex lectularius	с					

Table 2. Blood-feeding insects causing allergic reactions.

c: cutaneous reactions; s: systemic reactions.

ulcerations associated with general symptoms after mosquito bites in patients with NK-cell lymphocytosis and chronic EBV infection [2, 19]. This condition was described almost exclusively in children from East Asia. EBV reactivation in infected NK cells by mosquitospecific CD4+ T cells has been discussed as the underlying pathomechanism. Similar exaggerated local reactions have been observed also in other immune diseases affecting T-cell immunity (e.g. CML, AIDS).

Systemic reactions

Anaphylactic reactions are rare, possibly because of the small amount of antigen injected by blood-feeding insects. The most frequently reported triggers are horse flies and kissing bugs [14, 20, 25, 28, 38, 42], whose salivary glands contain $\sim 10 - 30$ times more protein than those of mosquitoes [47]. Anaphylaxis has also been credibly documented after bites from mosquitoes, tse-tse flies, and louse flies [32, 40]. A possible risk factor for anaphylactic reactions may be the presence of mastocytosis [27, 40], which is also a well-documented risk factor for severe anaphylaxis in Hymenoptera allergy.

Relevant insect species

Most hematophagous insects causing allergic reactions belong to the order Diptera (mosquitoes and flies) (Table 2). Among them, the mosquitoes (Culicidae) are most important. In Central Europe, ~ 50 different species are known. 10 - 20% of them may play a role as relevant pests due to high abundance, above all species from the genera *Aedes* and *Culex* (Figure 2a, b). The species composition of mosquitoes varies locally and seasonally, which is clinically important since cross-reactivity between different species may be limited [5, 34].

In Central Europe, stings by horse flies are most frequently caused by *Haematopota* species (Common horse fly, cleg fly) (Figure 2e), and less frequently by the much larger *Tabanus* species (giant horse flies) (Figure 2f). *Chrysops* species (deer flies) occasionally sting humans and may be confused with wasps because of marked black and yellow color patterns on their abdomen.

The 2-5 mm small, diurnal black flies (Simuliidae) (Figure 2c), whose larvae develop in flowing waters, can occur in large numbers locally. The persistent delayed reactions after multiple bites cause the clinical picture known as "simuliosis". Both, the black flies as well as the minute "biting midges" (Ceratopogonidae) (Figure 2d), which are only 0.5-3 mm in size, have been intensively studied as the cause of summer eczema ("sweet itch") in horses [21]. Many Ceratopogonidae species also parasitize on humans and can cause cutaneous hypersensitivity reactions of type 1 and 4 [8].



Figure 2. Central European blood-feeding insects. a: *Culex pipiens* (Culicidae, mosquitoes), b: *Aedes vexans* (Culicidae, mosquitoes), c: *Simulium* spp. (Simuliidae, black flies), d: *Culicoides* spp. (Ceratopogonidae, biting midges), e: *Haematopota pluvialis*, Common horse fly, cleg fly (Tabanidae, horse flies), f: *Tabanus bromius*, band-eyed brown horse fly (Tabanidae, horse flies). Photos a, b, c, e, f: W. Hemmer, d: https://www.scienceimage.csiro.au/image/11052.

Among the bugs, bedbugs (Cimicidae) and kissing bugs (Reduviidae) are of importance. Bedbugs (*Cimex lectularius*) only sting at night. They often cause bullous, slow-healing lesions with the histological findings of necrotizing eosinophilic vasculitis [24]. Kissing bugs from the subfamily Triatominae (e.g. *Triatoma protracta*) are known in Central America and the southern USA as the cause of sometimes severe anaphylactic reactions. They are 1 - 3 cm in size and primarily parasite on small mammals but occasionally also on humans. Their bites are painful only when interrupted and typically occur at night ("nocturnal anaphylaxis") [20, 28].

Are some people particularly attractive to mosquitoes?

The claim that some people may be particularly attractive to mosquitoes can be supported by numerous scientific studies [7, 39]. For far distance localization of potential hosts, blood-feeding insects use visual stimuli and especially carbon dioxide gradients. Differences in concentrations as low as 0.015% can be perceived by the insects. For close-up orientation (< 1 m), differences in temperature and humidity as well as the specific "skin odor", which is a complex mixture of ammonia, lactic acid, acetic acid, and various fatty acids, come into play. This skin odor varies individually and is determined by genetic factors as well as by the cutaneous microflora. An increased attractiveness for mosquitoes has been described for persons with blood group AB or atopic dermatitis, among others. Pregnancy, heavy sweating, and beer consumption are also said to increase the attractiveness (for mosquitoes). Age and sex have little influence.

Allergens in insect saliva: the "sialome" of blood-feeding insects

Many identified allergens in insect saliva represent ubiquitous proteins or structurally conserved digestive enzymes found in many species, e.g., antigen 5-like proteins, hyaluronidases, apyrases, maltases, and amylases [6]. The diversity of proteins that are specifically involved in blood-feeding is greater because hematophagy evolved several times independently within the arthropods, and groupspecific proteins have evolved in adaptation to different hosts [6]. For example, three major allergens are known from the yellow fever mosquito Aedes aegypti (Aed a 1 - 3): Aed a 1 is an apyrase enzyme as commonly found in many insects, Aed a 2 is a D7 protein only found within the insect order Diptera, and Aed a 3 is an "aegyptin" protein restricted to mosquitoes within the Diptera. Among mosquitoes, even further evolutionary differentiations can be observed: after the extinction of the dinosaurs, the genera Aedes and Anopheles specialized in parasitizing on mammals and subsequently developed specific saliva components optimized for the mammalian coagulation system which are not found in the genus Culex having specialized in feeding on birds [9]. This knowledge is important for understanding why cross-reactions may occur between some saliva proteins of distantly related insect groups while other allergens are family- or even genus-specific.

Relevant saliva allergens were first identified in mosquitoes after isolated salivary glands or pure mosquito saliva have been used as allergen source instead of whole body extracts [5, 34]. Allergens have been extensively studied in the yellow fever mosquito *Aedes aegypti*. Four allergens have been cloned and recombinantly produced, but are not commercially available for routine diagnostics [35, 36]. Three allergens (hyaluronidase, antigen 5, apyrase) were recently identified in the Asian horse fly species *Tabanus yao* [25]. They show up to 40% sequence identity with homologous proteins from mosquitoes and Hymenoptera venoms.

Studies on allergens from black flies and biting midges come primarily from the veterinary field since these insects commonly cause severe dermatitis in horses [44, 46]. Interestingly, the saliva allergens recognized by allergic horses are not identical to those recognized by allergic humans [8]. Accordingly, data from veterinary studies may not always be transferable to humans. The main saliva allergens identified in the kissing bug Triatoma protracta and in the pigeon tick Argas reflexus are members of the lipocalin family [31]. Their sequence similarity with the lipocalins involved in respiratory allergy to furry animals (e.g. Can f 1, Fel d 4) is only low however.

How important is allergy diagnosis?

The diagnosis of insect bite hypersensitivity is primarily based on medical history. A reliable identification of the culprit insect having caused a skin reaction is rarely possible. Pearl-like serial lesions ("breakfast, lunch, and dinner") and bullous reactions indicate bites by fleas or bed bugs [37] (Figure 1g, i, j), which may suggest appropriate eradication measures.

In view of the high sensitization rates in the general population and the manifold pathomechanisms underlying skin reactions, the importance and feasibility of allergy diagnostics may be limited. It is currently unknown if diagnostic tests would be able to reliably discriminate between "normal" reactors and those with exaggerated skin hypersensitivity reactions or risk of anaphylaxis. Moreover, it is not known how many and which particular insect species have to be considered for diagnostics since the range of locally relevant insects varies significantly.

Currently, a very limited number of assays using whole body extracts from mosquitoes and horse flies exist for in vitro diagnosis. Skin test extracts are hardly available. The sensitivity of these extracts is low because relevant salivary gland proteins are under-represented in them. Also their specificity is low because IgE binding is often due to non-salivary proteins (e.g., tropomyosin) or due to CCDs. Therefore, any positive blood test result has to be interpreted with caution. Recombinant allergens currently are not commercially available.

Therapy

Topical antihistamines are widely used for cutaneous immediate reactions, yet they have no proven effect. In contrast, premedication with oral antihistamines (levocetirizine, cetirizine, loratadine, rupatadine) in standard daily doses can significantly reduce itching and wheal size in children and adults [17, 18]. Treating delayed cutaneous reactions with topical corticosteroids appears reasonable, but controlled studies do not exist. Immunotherapy trials have been carried out sporadically in the past and recently with mixed success [1, 4].

	Antigen 5-like	Hyaluroni- dase	PLA ₁	PLA ₂	Serine protease
Honeybee	_	Api m 2	-	Api m 1	Api m 7
Wasps	Ves v 5	Ves v 2	Ves v 1	-	Pol d 4
Mosquitoes	+	+	+	+	+
Black flies	++	+			
Biting midges	++	++			++
Sand flies		+		+	
Horse flies	++	++			
Tsetse flies	++				
Fleas	++	+			
Kissing bugs	+				+

Table 3. Occurrence of proteins/allergens with homology to known Hymenoptera venom allergens in blood-feeding insects.

+ = detected as protein; ++ = identified as allergen; PLA = phospholipase A.

An important measure in insect bite hypersensitivity is consistent bite prophylaxis by using insect nets and repellents. The still most effective repellents are diethyltoluamide (DEET) and icaridin/picaridin. The latter is also suitable for infants aged 2 years and older, whereas DEET may sometimes cause side effects [48]. Maximal protection is achieved by combining repellent use plus insecticidal impregnation of clothes and/or insect nets with permethrin. There is no good proof of efficacy for many alternative methods that are commonly propagated (vitamin B, ultrasound devices, etc.) [12].

Possible relationships to bee and wasp venom allergy

Based on clinical observations and cosensitization patterns, cross-reactivity between horse flies/mosquitoes and Hymenoptera has been repeatedly suspected. Such cross-reactivity has been proposed to occur with wasps ("wasp-mosquito-horseflysyndrome") [38, 42] and more recently also with honeybee ("bee-mosquito-syndrome") [43]. In fact, proteomic data confirm that homologues of many important Hymenoptera venom allergens do occur also in the saliva of hematophagous insects, but there is still insufficient experimental evidence substantiating the postulated cross-reactivity and its potential clinical relevance. Antigen 5-like proteins have been identified as allergens in the saliva of horse flies, biting midges, black flies, fleas, and the tsetse fly (Table 3).

However, the sequence identities with Ves v 5 from wasp venom are always less than 30% which unlikely will lead to relevant cross-reactivity. Phospholipases showing 35 - 45% sequence identity with wasp Ves v 1 and honeybee Api m 1 are known from several mosquitoes and sand flies, but they have not yet been recognized as allergens in these species, and their presence in saliva is uncertain. The same is true for serine proteases known from various mosquitoes, midges, and kissing bugs and showing 30 - 45% homology to Api m 7 from honeybee and Pol d 4 from the paper wasp *Polistes dominula* [15].

The most likely allergen mediating crossreactivity between blood-feeding insects and Hymenoptera is hyaluronidase [38, 42]. Hyaluronidases are proteins that have been strongly conserved in sequence and structure during evolution. They have been recognized as relevant saliva allergens in horse flies and biting midges and are readily detectable in the salivary glands of most other blood-feeding insects, too [15, 47] (Table 3). The sequence identity with the hyaluronidases from wasp (Ves v 2) and honeybee (Api m 2) may be as high as 60%, which is comparable to the similarity between Ves v 2 and Api m 2 themselves. Since hyaluronidases are highly glycosylated, studies investigating crossreactivity have to consider cross-reactivity due to CCDs. In a recent study from China, recombinant hyaluronidase from the horse fly Tabanus yao (rTab y 2) could not significantly inhibit IgE binding to crude wasp venom even at very high allergen concentrations [25]. However, reciprocal inhibition was not performed and therefore no final conclusions can be drawn from these data. Even in case of significant cross-reactivity between hyaluronidases from insect saliva and venoms it should be kept in mind that hyaluronidases represent only minor allergens in Hymenoptera venom allergy [16].

Concluding remarks

Though the majority of people shows some kind of cutaneous hypersensitivity after bites from blood-feeding insects, these reactions are usually mild and of limited importance in everyday allergy practice. The pathomechanisms underlying large local and bullous reactions are still poorly studied. Concomitant mastocytosis may be a risk factor for anaphylactic reactions which are rare but have been credibly documented for various hematophagous insects. The currently available diagnostic tools are poor. Therapy focuses on avoidance measures along with antihistamine premedication and symptomatic treatment. In the recent past, numerous allergens from the salivary glands of various insect groups have been identified, which partly belong to the same protein families as the well-known allergens from bee and wasp venom. The proposed cross-reactivity between saliva proteins and Hymenoptera venom allergens is still obscure and needs to be clarified in future molecular studies.

Funding

No funding.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

References

- Ariano R, Panzani RC. Efficacy and safety of specific immunotherapy to mosquito bites. Eur Ann Allergy Clin Immunol. 2004; 36: 131-138. <u>PubMed</u>
- [2] Asada H. Hypersensitivity to mosquito bites: a unique pathogenic mechanism linking Epstein-Barr virus infection, allergy and oncogenesis. J Dermatol Sci. 2007; 45: 153-160. CrossRef PubMed
- [3] Belkaid Y, Valenzuela JG, Kamhawi S, Rowton E, Sacks DL, Ribeiro JM. Delayed-type hypersensitivity to Phlebotomus papatasi sand fly bite: An adaptive response induced by the fly? Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2000; 97: 6704-6709. CrossRef PubMed
- [4] Berkovitz S, Hill N, Radcliffe M, Ambler G. A randomised, double-blind pilot study of enzyme-potentiated desensitisation for prophylaxis of large local reactions to mosquito bites. J Allergy (Cairo). 2012; 2012: 106069. CrossRef PubMed
- [5] Brummer-Korvenkontio H, Palosuo T, François G, Reunala T. Characterization of Aedes communis, Aedes aegypti and Anopheles stephensi mosquito saliva antigens by immunoblotting. Int Arch Allergy Immunol. 1997; 112: 169-174. <u>CrossRef</u> <u>PubMed</u>
- [6] Calvo E, Dao A, Pham VM, Ribeiro JM. An insight into the sialome of Anopheles funestus reveals an emerging pattern in anopheline salivary

protein families. Insect Biochem Mol Biol. 2007; 37: 164-175. CrossRef PubMed

- [7] Cardé RT. Multi-cue integration: how female mosquitoes locate a human host. Curr Biol. 2015; 25: R793-R795. CrossRef PubMed
- [8] Chen YH, Lee MF, Lan JL, Chen CS, Wang HL, Hwang GY, Wu CH. Hypersensitivity to Forcipomyia taiwana (biting midge): clinical analysis and identification of major For t 1, For t 2 and For t 3 allergens. Allergy. 2005; 60: 1518-1523. Cross-Ref PubMed
- [9] Francischetti IM, Calvo E, Andersen JF, Pham VM, Favreau AJ, Barbian KD, Romero A, Valenzuela JG, Ribeiro JM. Insight into the sialome of the bed bug, Cimex lectularius. J Proteome Res. 2010; 9: 3820-3831. CrossRef PubMed
- [10] García E, Halpert E, Rodríguez A, Andrade R, Fiorentino S, García C. Immune and histopathologic examination of flea bite-induced papular urticaria. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2004; 92: 446-452. CrossRef PubMed
- [11] González Diaz SN, Cruz AA, Sedó Mejía GA, Rojas Lozano AA, Valenzuela EA, Vidaurri Ojeda AC. [Prevalence of reactions secundary to mosquito bites Aedes aegypti at en el Regional Center of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, University Hospital, de Monterrey, Nuevo Leon]. Rev Alerg Mex. 2010; 57: 37-43. PubMed
- [12] Goodyer LI, Croft AM, Frances SP, Hill N, Moore SJ, Onyango SP, Debboun M. Expert review of the evidence base for arthropod bite avoidance. J Travel Med. 2010; 17: 182-192. CrossRef PubMed
- [13] Hemmer W, Focke M, Aspöck H, Götz M, Jarisch R. Dipteren-(Mücken)-Allergie. Allergo J. 1997; 6 (S1): 15-17.
- [14] Hemmer W, Focke M, Vieluf D, Berg-Drewniok B, Götz M, Jarisch R. Anaphylaxis induced by horsefly bites: Identification of a 69 kd IgE-binding salivary gland protein from Chrysops spp. (Diptera Tabanidae) by western blot analysis. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 1998; 101: 134-136. CrossRef PubMed
- [15] Hemmer W. Kreuzreaktionen zwischen Hymenopterengiftallergenen. Allergo J. 2009; 18: 359-372. CrossRef
- [16] Jin C, Focke M, Léonard R, Jarisch R, Altmann F, Hemmer W. Reassessing the role of hyaluronidase in yellow jacket venom allergy. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2010; 125: 184-90.e1. CrossRef PubMed
- [17] Karppinen A, Brummer-Korvenkontio H, Petman L, Kautiainen H, Hervé JP, Reunala T. Levocetirizine for treatment of immediate and delayed mosquito bite reactions. Acta Derm Venereol. 2006; 86: 329-331. CrossRef PubMed
- [18] Karppinen A, Kautiainen H, Petman L, Burri P, Reunala T. Comparison of cetirizine, ebastine and loratadine in the treatment of immediate mosquito-bite allergy. Allergy. 2002; 57: 534-537. <u>Cross-Ref PubMed</u>
- [19] Kim WY, Montes-Mojarro IA, Fend F, Quintanilla-Martinez L. Epstein-Barr Virus-associated T and NK-cell lymphoproliferative diseases. Front Pediatr. 2019; 7: 71. <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- [20] Klotz JH, Dorn PL, Logan JL, Stevens L, Pinnas JL, Schmidt JO, Klotz SA. "Kissing bugs": potential disease vectors and cause of anaphylaxis. Clin Infect Dis. 2010; 50: 1629-1634. CrossRef PubMed

- [21] Kolm-Stark G, Wagner R. Intradermal skin testing in Icelandic horses in Austria. Equine Vet J. 2002; 34: 405-410. <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- [22] Kulthanan K, Wongkamchai S, Triwongwaranat D. Mosquito allergy: clinical features and natural course. J Dermatol. 2010; 37: 1025-1031. <u>Cross-Ref PubMed</u>
- [23] Lee MF, Yang KJ, Wang NM, Chiu YT, Chen PC, Chen YH. The development of a murine model for Forcipomyia taiwana (biting midge) allergy. PLoS One. 2014; 9: e91871. CrossRef PubMed
- [24] Leverkus M, Jochim RC, Schäd S, Bröcker EB, Andersen JF, Valenzuela JG, Trautmann A. Bullous allergic hypersensitivity to bed bug bites mediated by IgE against salivary nitrophorin. J Invest Dermatol. 2006; 126: 91-96. CrossRef PubMed
- [25] Ma D, Li Y, Dong J, An S, Wang Y, Liu C, Yang X, Yang H, Xu X, Lin D, Lai R. Purification and characterization of two new allergens from the salivary glands of the horsefly, Tabanus yao. Allergy. 2011; 66: 101-109. CrossRef PubMed
- [26] Manuyakorn W, Itsaradisaikul S, Benjaponpitak S, Kamchaisatian W, Sasisakulporn C, Jotikasthira W, Matangkasombut P. Mosquito allergy in children: Clinical features and limitation of commercially-available diagnostic tests. Asian Pac J Allergy Immunol. 2017; 35: 186-190. PubMed
- [27] Matito A, Bartolomé-Zavala B, Alvarez-Twose I, Sánchez-Matas I, Escribano L. IgE-mediated anaphylaxis to Hippobosca equina in a patient with systemic mastocytosis. Allergy. 2010; 65: 1058-1059. CrossRef PubMed
- [28] Moffitt JE, Venarske D, Goddard J, Yates AB, de-Shazo RD. Allergic reactions to Triatoma bites. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2003; 91: 122-128, quiz 128-130, 194. CrossRef PubMed
- [29] Nakano T, Andoh T, Sasaki A, Nojima H, Kuraishi Y. Different roles of capsaicin-sensitive and H1 histamine receptor-expressing sensory neurones in itch of mosquito allergy in mice. Acta Derm Venereol. 2008; 88: 449-454. CrossRef PubMed
- [30] Oka K, Ohtaki N, Igawa K, Yokozeki H. Study on the correlation between age and changes in mosquito bite response. J Dermatol. 2018; 45: 1471-1474. CrossRef PubMed
- [31] Paddock CD, McKerrow JH, Hansell E, Foreman KW, Hsieh I, Marshall N. Identification, cloning, and recombinant expression of procalin, a major triatomine allergen. J Immunol. 2001; 167: 2694-2699. CrossRef PubMed
- [32] Peng Z, Beckett AN, Engler RJ, Hoffman DR, Ott NL, Simons FE. Immune responses to mosquito saliva in 14 individuals with acute systemic allergic reactions to mosquito bites. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2004; 114: 1189-1194. CrossRef PubMed
- [33] Peng Z, Simons FE. A prospective study of naturally acquired sensitization and subsequent desensitization to mosquito bites and concurrent antibody responses. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 1998; 101: 284-286. CrossRef PubMed
- [34] Peng Z, Simons FE. Cross-reactivity of skin and serum specific IgE responses and allergen analysis for three mosquito species with worldwide distribution. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 1997; 100: 192-198. CrossRef PubMed
- [35] Peng Z, Simons FE. Mosquito allergy: immune mechanisms and recombinant salivary allergens. Int Arch Allergy Immunol. 2004; 133: 198-209. CrossRef PubMed

- [36] Peng Z, Caihe L, Beckett AN, Guan Q, James AA, Simons FE. rAed a 4: A new 67-kDa Aedes aegypti mosquito salivary allergen for the diagnosis of mosquito allergy. Int Arch Allergy Immunol. 2016; 170: 206-210. CrossRef PubMed
- [37] Peres G, Yugar LBT, Haddad Junior V. Breakfast, lunch, and dinner sign: a hallmark of flea and bedbug bites. An Bras Dermatol. 2018; 93: 759-760. <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- [38] Quercia O, Emiliani F, Foschi FG, Stefanini GF. The wasp-horsefly syndrome. Eur Ann Allergy Clin Immunol. 2008; 40: 61-63. <u>PubMed</u>
- [39] Ray A. Reception of odors and repellents in mosquitoes. Curr Opin Neurobiol. 2015; 34: 158-164. <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- [40] Reiter N, Reiter M, Altrichter S, Becker S, Kristensen T, Broesby-Olsen S, Church MK, Metz M, Maurer M, Siebenhaar F. Anaphylaxis caused by mosquito allergy in systemic mastocytosis. Lancet. 2013; 382: 1380. CrossRef PubMed
- [41] Reunala T, Brummer-Korvenkontio H, Lappalainen P, Räsänen L, Palosuo T. Immunology and treatment of mosquito bites. Clin Exp Allergy. 1990; 20 (Suppl 4): 19-24. CrossRef PubMed
- [42] Sabbah A, Hassoun S, Drouet M, Lauret MG, Doucet M. [The wasp-mosquito syndrome: extension of cross-allergenicity to the horsefly]. Allerg Immunol (Paris). 2000; 32: 16-19. <u>PubMed</u>
- [43] Scala E, Pirrotta L, Uasuf CG, Mistrello G, Amato S, Guerra EC, Locanto M, Meneguzzi G, Giani M, Cecchi L, Abeni D, Asero R. Aedes communis reactivity Is associated with bee venom hypersensitivity: An in vitro and in vivo study. Int Arch Allergy Immunol. 2018; 176: 101-105. <u>CrossRef</u> <u>PubMed</u>
- [44] Schaffartzik A, Marti E, Crameri R, Rhyner C. Cloning, production and characterization of antigen 5 like proteins from Simulium vittatum and Culicoides nubeculosus, the first cross-reactive allergen associated with equine insect bite hypersensitivity. Vet Immunol Immunopathol. 2010; 137: 76-83. CrossRef PubMed
- [45] Simons FE, Peng Z. Skeeter syndrome. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 1999; 104: 705-707. CrossRef PubMed
- [46] van der Meide NM, Roders N, Sloet van Oldruitenborgh-Oosterbaan MM, Schaap PJ, van Oers MM, Leibold W, Savelkoul HF, Tijhaar E. Cloning and expression of candidate allergens from Culicoides obsoletus for diagnosis of insect bite hypersensitivity in horses. Vet Immunol Immunopathol. 2013; 153: 227-239. CrossRef PubMed
- [47] Volfova V, Hostomska J, Cerny M, Votypka J, Volf P. Hyaluronidase of bloodsucking insects and its enhancing effect on leishmania infection in mice. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2008; 2: e294 <u>CrossRef</u> <u>PubMed</u>
- [48] Wantke F, Focke M, Hemmer W, Götz M, Jarisch R. Generalized urticaria induced by a diethyltoluamide-containing insect repellent in a child. Contact Dermat. 1996; 35: 186-187. CrossRef PubMed
- [49] Zhao L, Jin H, She R, Hu Y, Xiao C, Yu Y, Wang J, Sun F, Ng T, Chu S, Wang B. A rodent model for allergic dermatitis induced by flea antigens. Vet Immunol Immunopathol. 2006; 114: 285-296. <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>